



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,316	04/02/2004	Xingbai He	TTC-005XX	1106
207	7590	03/10/2010	EXAMINER	
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109		CWERN, JONATHAN		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3737		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		03/10/2010		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/817,316	HE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan G. Cwern	3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-27 and 35-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18, 28-34 and 41-44 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-17 and 41-44 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 19, the word “lateral” is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-18, 28-34, and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mo et al. (US 6450959) in view of Criton et al. (US 5800356), Rubin et al. (US 2003/0195413), and Schechter (US 2005/0043895).

Mo et al. show methods and apparatus for simultaneous B-mode and multi-gate spectral Doppler imaging by an ultrasound scanner system (column 2, lines 50-55). Two or more independent Doppler beams are generated by the transmitter array to sample different vessel locations, each beam being multi-gated (column 4, lines 60-65). Figure 7 shows the two spectral lines in the same image frame. The spectral data is superimposed onto the B-image (tissue Doppler image, column 4, lines 45-55). Also, an ECG signal can be used as a trigger signal (column 5, lines 25-26). Mo et al. also mention that this device would be useful for monitoring flow profile changes in conjunction with vessel wall movements over the cardiac cycle (column 1, lines 58-63). Regarding claim 18, it is well known and expedient to use standard operating guidelines to perform echocardiography imaging operations. However, Mo does not go into specific details of tissue motion, and does not specifically mention applying the gates to a heart wall. Mo also fails to show measuring strain and using the measurements to select settings for a pacemaker.

Criton et al. disclose an ultrasonic diagnostic imaging system with Doppler assisted tracking of tissue motion. Criton et al. teach identifying the borders of the heart wall (column 1, lines 55-65); using automatic border detection, identifying a boundary (anchor) point (column 3, lines 5-20); identifying a boundary (anchor) point; measuring and displaying the displacement of the walls (column 6, line 64-column 7, line 15);

obtaining and displaying velocity data (column 6, lines 5-15); identifying and displaying the direction of motion (column 6, lines 5-15); obtaining information over a time interval (column 3, lines 25-27); proving apical images with at least two chambers (Figure 2), and short axis view of the heart (Figure 10).

Rubin et al. disclose a method for generating a gating signal for an MRI system using an ultrasonic detector. Rubin et al. teach that a gate can be applied to a heart wall ([0046] and [0059]).

Schechter discloses a method and apparatus for automatically programming CRT devices. Schechter teaches using ultrasound to measure strain and heart motion to use as quantitative parameters to indicate ventricular dysynchrony. The motion of the heart is directly related to the phase of the cardiac cycle, and thus the phase of the heart is used to aid in determining dysynchrony. The regions measured by the ultrasound can be lateral and septal walls. These walls are desirable to measure as they can show the most significant dysynchrony ([0069]-[0072]). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as an obvious design choice, to measure any walls of the heart which would yield a useful result. The data obtained is used to program a CRT device for biventricular pacing ([0055]). The thickness of the walls can also be measured ([0075]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used B-mode imaging and Doppler information to identify motion of the heart. Mo et al. briefly mention that their invention can be used to study vessel wall movements over the cardiac cycle (column 1, lines 58-63), but do not go into

the specific details of calculating the tissue motion. Criton et al. provide a system and method to calculate and display tissue motion of the heart, and describe calculating and displaying the tissue motion in detail. One of ordinary skill in the art could have used the system of Mo et al. to calculate tissue motion of the heart taught by Criton et al. It would be obvious to have applied the gate to a heart wall as taught by Rubin et al., when the object of interest is the heart. It would be obvious to apply gates to any structure in the body which is being observed. Further, it would be obvious to use such an invention for any particular application which requires ultrasonic measurements of the heart. For example, the invention could be used for measuring indicators of ventricular dysynchrony, such as motion, to select settings for a pacemaker as taught by Schechter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan G. Cwern whose telephone number is (571)270-1560. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30AM - 6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jonathan G Cwern/
Examiner, Art Unit 3737

/BRIAN CASLER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3737